

Quantum Entanglement (Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen EPR Paradox and Heisenberg uncertainty Principle)

CHM621A : Chemical Binding

Name: Vikash Meghwal

Roll No: 19817966

Quantum entanglement [3] is a fundamental phenomenon in quantum mechanics where two or more particles become "intertwined or correlated in such a way that the measurement of one particle's properties, such as spin, position, or polarization, instantaneously determines the corresponding properties of the other entangled particles" correlated in such a way that the properties of one particle are interconnected with the properties of the others, even when they are physically separated. In simple terms, it means that measuring one particle can instantaneously affect the state or behavior of another particle, regardless of the distance between them.

EPR Paradox: [2]

In their thought experiment, the EPR team considered a pair of particles, such as two electrons, that are created together and have correlated properties, like opposite spins. According to the principles of quantum mechanics, these particles are said to be in an entangled state. This means that measuring one particle's property (e.g., spin) instantaneously affects the other particle's property, regardless of the distance between them. Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen argued that this seemingly instantaneous connection between the particles implied that quantum mechanics was incomplete. They believed there must be some hidden variables that determined the properties of the particles in advance, which would explain the correlation without violating the theory of relativity

Example of EPR Paradox: Imagine two particles that are deeply connected, almost like magic. When you measure something about one of these particles, it instantly tells you something about the other particle, even if they are far apart. This connection is what we call "quantum entanglement." The paper is questioning whether this is strange and incomplete or if there's something more to it.

Wave Function and Probability: So the "wave function" is describe these particles. It's like a tool to predict what a particle might do. But the paper is asking, "Is this wave function enough to completely describe what's happening, or is there something missing?"

Key Questions: The two important questions. First, if we know a lot about how fast a particle is moving (momentum), does that mean we can't know anything about where it is (position)? Second, when two different properties of a particle can't be measured at the same time, does that mean we don't have a complete picture of reality?

Uncertainty Principle: This is a big idea. It says that there are limits to how precisely we can know certain things about particles. For example, if we know exactly how fast a particle is moving, we can't know exactly where it is.

Resolving the Paradox: [1]The uncertainty principle can actually explain the strange behavior of the particles. It says that because we can't make perfect measurements, the "weirdness" isn't a problem. It's just how the universe works.

Conclusion: So, in the end, It's just a natural part of how our universe operates, and it's not incomplete or weird. It's just a fascinating and deeply connected world at the tiniest level.

References

- [1] F J Duarte and T S Taylor. "Quantum Entanglement Engineering and Applications". In: (). URL: IOD10.1088/978-0-7503-3407-5.
- [2] JIM FRANSON. "EINSTEIN'S "SPOOKY ACTION AT A DISTANCE"". In: (). URL: <https://www.aps.org/units/maspg/meetings/upload/franson-021815.pdf>.
- [3] A. EINSTEIN B. PODOLSKY and N. ROSEN. "Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete' ?" In: (). URL: <https://journals.aps.org/pr/pdf/10.1103/PhysRev.47.777>.